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Abstract

Explainable AI (XAI) is paramount in industry-grade AI; however, existing methods fail to address this necessity, in part due to a lack of standardization of explainability methods. The purpose of this paper is to offer a perspective on the current state of the area of explainability, and to provide novel definitions for Explainability and Interpretability to begin standardizing this area of research. To do so, we provide an overview of the literature on explainability, and of the existing methods that are already implemented. Finally, we offer a tentative taxonomy of the different explainability methods, opening the door to future research.

Characteristics for Explainable AI

According to Merriam Webster, to explain is “to make something plain or understandable”. As such, we define 3 levels of explanation of AI models, which answer a particularly question posed by users:

1. Interpretability, or "How does the AI model behave?"  
   Definition 1. The interpretability of AI models refers to the condition that allows a user to understand the relation between the input and the output of the AI model, providing a clear group of all resulting data points.

2. Auditability, or "Does the AI model behave as expected?"  
   We can define the most important property of an auditable AI models its capacity to answer specific questions auditors might have such as: "Are there any bugs?", "Are unintentional biases included in the model?", "Does this model have severity risks?" or even "Is this AI model compliant with a specific data protection regulation?"

3. Explainability, or "How is every decision taken by the AI model?"  
   Definition 2. The explainability of AI models refers to the condition that allows a user to understand decisions made by the model and its subparts through processes before, during, and after the construction of the AI model.

Motivation

It is undeniable that we are living in the era of Artificial Intelligence (AI). However, there is a major issue with recent machine learning models; although they yield great results, all of them are “black-box models”. This leads to Unintentional Misbehaviors, which poses an even greater danger as we may have errors that were not accounted for, but still affect millions of people.

Some biases inherited from the humans building these AI models were highly publicized, such as:

- **Gender Bias:** Job openings for top positions were only showed to men
- **Race Bias:** An automatic soap dispenser only recognized white users and not black users.
- **Confirmation Bias:** This is the biggest challenge facing modern societies due to the bubble effect of social media.

The need for XAI in the Industry

Organization **have** to use explainable AI

- to comply with the privacy-related regulations all over the world putting the data subjects back in control of their personal information (e.g. GDPR in Europe, PDPA in Singapore, CCPA in California, ...). All these laws force companies in some way to explain the decision-making process of their algorithms.

Organization **want** to use explainable AI

- given all the advantages that explainability offers. Not only do organizations gain valuable insights on the behaviour of each of the models they use, they can also build more efficient, profitable and cost-worthy AI models.

Taxonomy of Explainability Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Data Modelling</th>
<th>Model Deployment</th>
<th>User Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-modelling explainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td>DeepAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-modelling explainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAP &amp; LIME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion & Future Works

Through our paper, we have defined the meaning of AI explainability and established its importance for the construction of trustworthy and intentional Machine Learning models. This opens the door to a wider adoption of XAI and a systematization of this field; for if we do not drive explainability today, it will be too late tomorrow to correct the wrongs of AI and ensure a safe future where AI is omnipresent.

Other interesting leads to follow this research include:

- a more comprehensive taxonomy allowing to have an established standard to refer to;
- a standardization of the explainability framework, which is critical for researchers; and,
- an analysis of the explainability to complexity ratio of each explainability method, as well as the possible links between performance, complexity and explainability
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